#### Memorandum

CHAIR AND COMMISSIONERS To:

CTC Meeting:

May 21-22, 2003

**Reference No.:** 2.8a.(1)

Action Item

From:

ROBERT L. GARCIA

Chief Financial Officer

Prepared by:

Terry Abbott

Division Chief Local Assistance

Ref:

REQUEST TO EXTEND THE PERIOD OF PROJECT ALLOCATION PER RESOLUTION G-01-21, FOR LOCAL STREETS AND ROADS PROJECTS WAIVER-03-03

#### **ISSUE:**

The California Transportation Commission (Commission) programmed funds in Fiscal Year 2002-2003 totaling \$9,891,000 for the 21 projects shown on the attached list. The implementing agencies have been unable to allocate the funds and do not anticipate allocating the funds by the June 30, 2003 deadline. The attachment shows the details of each project and the delays that have resulted in the extension requests. The project sponsors request extensions, and the planning agencies concur.

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

The Department of Transportation's recommendations are shown on the attachment.

#### **BACKGROUND:**

Resolution G-01-21, STIP Guidelines, adopted by the Commission on July 12, 2001, stipulates that funds programmed for all components of local grant projects are available for allocation only until the end of the fiscal year identified in the State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP). The guidelines further stipulate that the Commission may approve waivers to the timely use of funds deadline one time only for up to 20 months in accordance with Section 14529.8 of the Government Code.

Attachment

	Applicant	Extension Amount	Number of Months Requested	
	County	By Component (\$ in thousands)	•	
		E&P	Extended Deadline	
	PPNO	PS&E		
	Project Description	R/W	CT Recommendation	
		CON		
		TOTAL		
	Reason for Project Delay:			
1	County of Tulare	\$0	11 months +	
	Tulare	\$1,301		
		\$0	05/31/2004 +	
	PPNO: 6L11	\$0		
	Road 80 Expwy – Widen to 4	\$1,301	Support – meets STIP guidelines	
	lanes			
	As a result of input from public meetings, public agencies and comments from the FHWA Area Engineer during field review changes were made to the alignment and proposed drainage facilities. These changes required additional environmental review and funding. In March 2002, a request was made for programming an additional \$400,000 for PA&ED as an amendment to the 2002 RTIP. Due to budgetary concerns, the FTIP approval process took approximately 3 months longer than normal. The \$400,000 PA&ED allocation request was submitted for the February 2003 CTC meeting. This allocation has not yet been made. This has delayed the project further.			
	allocated PA&ED funds to co has resulted in an overall dela	ly in completing the environmental documer response to reviews, FHWA approval, and p	tional work identified by shifting currently occeed with mitigation. The substitution of tasks ats. Tasks remaining are FHWA final review, reparation and approval of the PS&E allocation	
2		3) and actual allocation. The construction ph	onths plus the time difference between request for hase remains programmed for FY 2006-07 and  18 months	
	County of Tenama	·	10 monus	
_	Tehama	\$5		
_	Tehama	\$5 \$0	12/31/2004	
_		\$0	12/31/2004	
_	PPNO: 2184	\$0 \$0		
-		\$0 \$0	12/31/2004 Support, meets STIP guidelines	
	PPNO: 2184 Widen Bridge on McCoy Rox This project requires an indiv for approval from the 404 int Protection Agency (EPA) and requires a NEPA Environment an endangered species (valley	\$0 \$0 ad \$5 idual permit from the U.S. Army Corps of Elegration team comprised of US Fish and Wild National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) atal Assessment, expected to be approved as a elderberry longhorn beetle) is affected by the EHWA. The time extension requested (18 mm)		
	PPNO: 2184 Widen Bridge on McCoy Rox This project requires an indiv for approval from the 404 int Protection Agency (EPA) and requires a NEPA Environment an endangered species (valled in the project approval from I	\$0 \$0 ad \$5 idual permit from the U.S. Army Corps of Elegration team comprised of US Fish and Wild National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) atal Assessment, expected to be approved as a elderberry longhorn beetle) is affected by the EHWA. The time extension requested (18 mm)	Support, meets STIP guidelines Engineers (ACOE) and requires advanced studies Idlife Service (USFWS), ACOE, Environmental The requirement of an individual permit also a Finding of No Significant Impact. In addition, the bridge replacement, resulting in another delay	
	PPNO: 2184 Widen Bridge on McCoy Rox This project requires an indiv for approval from the 404 int Protection Agency (EPA) and requires a NEPA Environmer an endangered species (valley in the project approval from I economical use of Tehama C	\$0 \$0 ad \$5  idual permit from the U.S. Army Corps of E egration team comprised of US Fish and Wil I National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) atal Assessment, expected to be approved as a elderberry longhorn beetle) is affected by the FHWA. The time extension requested (18 mounty's limited STIP funds.	Support, meets STIP guidelines Engineers (ACOE) and requires advanced studies Idlife Service (USFWS), ACOE, Environmental Described in Idlividual permit also a Finding of No Significant Impact. In addition, the bridge replacement, resulting in another delay sonths) will allow for these delays and for the most	
	PPNO: 2184 Widen Bridge on McCoy Rox This project requires an indiv for approval from the 404 int Protection Agency (EPA) and requires a NEPA Environmer an endangered species (valley in the project approval from I economical use of Tehama C	\$0 \$0 ad \$5  idual permit from the U.S. Army Corps of E egration team comprised of US Fish and Wil I National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) tall Assessment, expected to be approved as to elderberry longhorn beetle) is affected by the FHWA. The time extension requested (18 m ounty's limited STIP funds.	Support, meets STIP guidelines Engineers (ACOE) and requires advanced studies Idlife Service (USFWS), ACOE, Environmental Described in Individual permit also a Finding of No Significant Impact. In addition, the bridge replacement, resulting in another delay sonths) will allow for these delays and for the mos	
	PPNO: 2184 Widen Bridge on McCoy Rox This project requires an indiv for approval from the 404 int Protection Agency (EPA) and requires a NEPA Environmer an endangered species (valley in the project approval from I economical use of Tehama C	\$0 \$0 ad \$5  idual permit from the U.S. Army Corps of E egration team comprised of US Fish and Wil I National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) tal Assessment, expected to be approved as to elderberry longhorn beetle) is affected by the FHWA. The time extension requested (18 mounty's limited STIP funds.	Support, meets STIP guidelines Engineers (ACOE) and requires advanced studies Idlife Service (USFWS), ACOE, Environmental The requirement of an individual permit also a Finding of No Significant Impact. In addition, he bridge replacement, resulting in another delay nonths) will allow for these delays and for the mos	
3	PPNO: 2184 Widen Bridge on McCoy Rox This project requires an indiv for approval from the 404 int Protection Agency (EPA) and requires a NEPA Environmen an endangered species (valley in the project approval from I economical use of Tehama C  County of Tehama Tehama	\$0 \$0 ad \$5  idual permit from the U.S. Army Corps of E egration team comprised of US Fish and Wil I National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) at al Assessment, expected to be approved as a elderberry longhorn beetle) is affected by the FHWA. The time extension requested (18 mounty's limited STIP funds.  \$0 \$5 \$0 \$5 \$0 \$5	Support, meets STIP guidelines Engineers (ACOE) and requires advanced studies Idlife Service (USFWS), ACOE, Environmental The requirement of an individual permit also a Finding of No Significant Impact. In addition, he bridge replacement, resulting in another delay nonths) will allow for these delays and for the mos	

Project #	Applicant	Extension Amount	Number of Months Requested		
-	County	By Component (\$ in thousand	s) -		
	•	E&P	Extended Deadline		
	PPNO	PS&E			
	Project Description	R/W	CT Recommendation		
		CON			
	TOTAL				
	Reason for Project Delay:				
4	County of Merced	\$0	19 months		
	Merced	\$200			
		\$0	1/31/2005		
	PPNO: 5951	\$0	9 999		
	Campus Parkway – New	\$200	Support, meets STIP guidelines		
	Arterial Roadway				
	been delivered to Caltrans consultation with the US I seven permit will be needed logical termini. While good the environmental process environmental phase inclue.  Need for additional manual anticipated.  Resolution of a potent Consultation and needed Longer than anticipated reports.  Use of the new qualification of the new qualification and needed accuments released schedule was develoted.	for review. The County has worked clearly has hand Wildlife Service concerning end, and the Environmental Protection A old progress has been made, the scope of is taking longer than anticipated to conde: echnical studies including a project spential 4(f) issue involving a dairy. gotiation required to resolve purpose an ted review times by oversight on technical studies and legal sufficiency revieded to save review time of draft documfor public review. The front-end time to ped and has added time to completing t	cal studies for historic architectural and archeological w process, which is expected by Caltrans for all EIR-EIS. ents with FHWA and to ensure high quality draft o go through this process was not known when the original		
	The County anticipates that the draft EIR-EIS will be released by FHWA for circulation during the Summer of 2003. A longer than normal circulation time is planned as a result of the environmentally sensitive conditions that surround this and any project that appears to be linked to the new Merced campus. Numerous comments on the Draft EIR-EIS are expected and will likely require a lengthy response time. The current schedule anticipates the final EIR-EIS will be published in Summer of 2004, with a record of decision by FHWA in October 2004. At this time, the allocation request will be prepared. Due to these delays, the County requests a 19-month extension of the allocation deadline – to January 2005.				
5	City of Tehama	\$0	3 months – PS&E		
	Tehama	\$0	14 months – CON		
		\$4	09/30/2003		
	PPNO: 2142	\$139	08/31/2004		
	Tehama Avenue Bridge	\$143	Support, meets STIP guidelines		
	An archeologically significant site was found in the project area and additional studies were required. The environmental document revision and review time was accordingly extended by three months. Therefore the City requests a 3-month extension of the R/W allocation deadline (to September 2003). In addition, acquisition of the Union Pacific Railroad property is expected to take longer than anticipated – approximately an additional 11 months. Therefore, the City requests 14-month extension of the Construction allocation deadline (to August 2004).				

	Applicant	Extension Amount	Number of Months Requested
	County	By Component (\$ in thousands)	E ( 1.15 W
	DDMO	E&P	Extended Deadline
	PPNO	PS&E	CT Dansaman dation
	Project Description	R/W	CT Recommendation
		CON TOTAL	
	Reason for Project Delay:	TOTAL	
6	County of Tehama	\$0	12 months for R/W
U	Tehama	\$0	18 months for CON
	Tonumu	\$1	6/30/2004
	PPNO: 2183	\$54	12/31/2004
	Replace Bridge at Lake	\$55	
	California Drive at Taylor's	*	Support, meets STIP guidelines
	Wash		
	to study the vegetation and of Army Corps of Engineers to	her indicative characteristics before a determ	the project boundaries. Additional time is needed ination can be made. Also, time is needed for the udies. Therefore, the County is requesting a 12-tension of the construction allocation (to
		40	20
7	City of Visalia	\$0	20 months
	Tulare	\$426	2/20/2005
	DDNO: 0102	\$0 \$0	2/28/2005
	PPNO: 0103 Caldwell Avenue Road	\$0 \$426	Cupport, mosts CTID avidalinas
	Widening	\$426	Support, meets STIP guidelines
			oute 63 and State Route 99. The additional k. The new CEQA and NEPA documents are ag a 20-month extension of the PS&E allocation
8	expected to be complete by Ja		k. The new CEQA and NEPA documents are
8	expected to be complete by Jadeadline – to February 2005.	anuary 2005. Therefore, the City is requestir	k. The new CEQA and NEPA documents are ag a 20-month extension of the PS&E allocation
8	expected to be complete by Jadeadline – to February 2005.  County of Alameda	s0 \$450 \$0	k. The new CEQA and NEPA documents are ag a 20-month extension of the PS&E allocation
8	expected to be complete by Jadeadline – to February 2005.  County of Alameda Alameda  PPNO: 1015	\$0 \$450 \$0 \$0 \$0	x. The new CEQA and NEPA documents are a 20-month extension of the PS&E allocation  14 months  8/31/2004
8	expected to be complete by Jadeadline – to February 2005.  County of Alameda Alameda  PPNO: 1015 Crow Canyon Road Safety	s0 \$450 \$0	x. The new CEQA and NEPA documents are ng a 20-month extension of the PS&E allocation  14 months
8	expected to be complete by Jadeadline – to February 2005.  County of Alameda Alameda  PPNO: 1015	\$0 \$450 \$0 \$0 \$0	x. The new CEQA and NEPA documents are a 20-month extension of the PS&E allocation  14 months  8/31/2004
8	expected to be complete by Jadeadline – to February 2005.  County of Alameda Alameda  PPNO: 1015 Crow Canyon Road Safety Improvements  The magnitude of this project Canyon Road has taken longooriginally estimated that preli	\$0 \$450 \$0 \$450 \$0 \$450 \$1 \$1 \$2 \$450 \$30 \$450 \$450 \$2 \$450 \$30 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$45	x. The new CEQA and NEPA documents are a 20-month extension of the PS&E allocation  14 months  8/31/2004
9	expected to be complete by Jadeadline – to February 2005.  County of Alameda Alameda  PPNO: 1015 Crow Canyon Road Safety Improvements  The magnitude of this project Canyon Road has taken long originally estimated that prelianticipated that the environm	\$0 \$450 \$0 \$450 \$0 \$450 \$1 \$2 \$30 \$450 \$450 \$2 \$30 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$450 \$45	14 months 8/31/2004 Support, meets STIP guidelines sing the possible safety improvements along Crow way (approximately seven miles). The County lation would be complete by June 2003. It is now
	expected to be complete by Jacadine – to February 2005.  County of Alameda Alameda  PPNO: 1015 Crow Canyon Road Safety Improvements  The magnitude of this project Canyon Road has taken longo originally estimated that prelianticipated that the environm month extension of the PS&E	\$0 \$450 \$0 \$450 \$0 \$450 \$1 \$1 is greater than originally expected. Evaluate than expected due to the length of the road aminary engineering and environmental evaluate than expected will be completed by August allocation deadline.	A. The new CEQA and NEPA documents are a 20-month extension of the PS&E allocation  14 months  8/31/2004  Support, meets STIP guidelines  sing the possible safety improvements along Crow way (approximately seven miles). The County lation would be complete by June 2003. It is now 2004. Therefore, the County is requesting a 14-
	expected to be complete by Jadeadline – to February 2005.  County of Alameda Alameda  PPNO: 1015  Crow Canyon Road Safety Improvements  The magnitude of this project Canyon Road has taken long originally estimated that prelianticipated that the environment extension of the PS&E  Town of Paradise Butte	\$0 \$450 \$0 \$450 \$1 \$1 is greater than originally expected. Evaluater than expected due to the length of the road iminary engineering and environmental evaluental document will be completed by August allocation deadline.	A. The new CEQA and NEPA documents are a 20-month extension of the PS&E allocation  14 months  8/31/2004  Support, meets STIP guidelines  sing the possible safety improvements along Crow way (approximately seven miles). The County action would be complete by June 2003. It is now 2004. Therefore, the County is requesting a 14-
	expected to be complete by Jadeadline – to February 2005.  County of Alameda Alameda  PPNO: 1015  Crow Canyon Road Safety Improvements  The magnitude of this project Canyon Road has taken longe originally estimated that prelianticipated that the environm month extension of the PS&E  Town of Paradise Butte  PPNO: 2L120	\$0 \$450 \$0 \$450 \$1 \$1 is greater than originally expected. Evaluater than expected due to the length of the road minary engineering and environmental evaluental document will be completed by August allocation deadline.  \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$442	A. The new CEQA and NEPA documents are ag a 20-month extension of the PS&E allocation  14 months  8/31/2004  Support, meets STIP guidelines  sing the possible safety improvements along Crow way (approximately seven miles). The County lation would be complete by June 2003. It is now 2004. Therefore, the County is requesting a 14-  12 months  6/30/2004
	expected to be complete by Jadeadline – to February 2005.  County of Alameda Alameda  PPNO: 1015  Crow Canyon Road Safety Improvements  The magnitude of this project Canyon Road has taken long originally estimated that prelianticipated that the environment extension of the PS&E  Town of Paradise Butte	\$0 \$450 \$0 \$450 \$1 \$1 is greater than originally expected. Evaluater than expected due to the length of the road iminary engineering and environmental evaluental document will be completed by August allocation deadline.	A. The new CEQA and NEPA documents are a 20-month extension of the PS&E allocation  14 months  8/31/2004  Support, meets STIP guidelines  sing the possible safety improvements along Crow way (approximately seven miles). The County lation would be complete by June 2003. It is now 2004. Therefore, the County is requesting a 14-

Project #	Applicant County	Extension Amount By Component (\$ in thousands) E&P	Number of Months Requested  Extended Deadline	
	PPNO	PS&E	Entended Sedamie	
	Project Description	R/W CON TOTAL	CT Recommendation	
10	Reason for Project Delay:	¢Λ	12 months	
10	City of Shafter Kern	\$0 \$1,000 \$0	6/30/2004	
	PPNO: 8700 Roadway Widening on Seventh Standard Road	\$0 <b>\$1,000</b>	Support, meets STIP guidelines	
	The City is currently working on the environmental phase of this project. The Administrative Draft of the Environmental Assessment / Initial Study has been prepared and submitted to Caltrans for review. A Draft Biological Assessment has been prepared and is to be submitted to Caltrans and FHWA in April 2003. All other technical reports are currently under a second review by Caltrans. The environmental phase was originally expected to be complete by April 2002, but is now expected to be complete by January 2004. Because this phase must be complete prior to allocating PS&E, the City is requesting an extension of the PS&E allocation deadline of 12 months. The City expects to request the allocation prior to June 2004.			
11	City of Ukiah	\$0	18 months	
	Mendocino	\$0		
	DDNIO 4077D	\$0	12/31/2004	
	PPNO: 4077P Railroad Crossing Rehab in the City at 4 locations	\$172 <b>\$172</b>	Support, meets STIP guidelines	
	floodplain study at the Perkins S crossing location. The additiona Federal reviews and approvals w	treet railroad crossing, and the need to co I time needed to complete these extensive	sive historical review, the need to complete a implete Hazardous Materials Studies at each e reviews and to allow adequate time for State and me originally estimated for environmental construction allocation deadline.	
12	County of Mendocino	\$0	20 months	
	Mendocino	\$0 \$230	2/28/2005	
	PPNO: 4073P	\$0		
	East Side Potter Valley Road Reconstruction – Phase 1	\$230	Support, meets STIP guidelines	
		original deadline. The County is request	storical review processes will not allow for ting a 20-month extension of the R/W allocation	
13	City of Desert Hot Springs Riverside	\$0 \$35	20 months	
		\$0	2/28/2005	
	PPNO: 0000L Pierson Blvd. Improvements	\$592 <b>\$627</b>	Support, meets STIP guidelines	
	The project schedule has been delayed due to a required in-depth environmental study. The depth of the environmental document, for a project of this nature and size (nearly 90% of the activity is limited to pavement rehabilitation) was not anticipated by either the project schedule or budget. The inclusion of 8 technical studies increased the cost of the environmental document from an estimated \$15,000 to \$42,000. The City Council allocated additional local funding to pay for these increased costs (only \$11,000 was programmed for this phase). The environmental document is currently 75% complete. Allowing for completion and full review of the environmental document, the City is requesting a 20-month extension of the allocation deadline of PS&E and construction.			

Project#	Applicant	Extension Amount	Number of Months Requested	
	County	By Component (\$ in thousands)		
		E&P	Extended Deadline	
	PPNO	PS&E		
	Project Description	R/W	CT Recommendation	
	-	CON		
		TOTAL		
	Reason for Project Delay:			
14	County of Lassen	\$0	12 months	
	Lassen	\$0		
		\$0	6/30/2004	
	PPNO: 2123	\$74		
	Skyline Extension Bikeway	y \$74	Support, meets STIP guidelines	
	reevaluation of the Enviror species by the US Fish and wetlands mitigation bank f wetland bank was recently Environmental Document right-of-way work can beg single family residential dwith the remainder of the r	or the project. This discovery initiated a search verbally approved by the Army Corps of Enging will be submitted to Caltrans for review. Once in. Although there are not many parcels in this velling will need to be acquired. The time to co	Indering Skipper was listed as an endangered Skipper was identified in the area of the proposed in for a new wetland mitigation bank. A new neers. When written approval is received, the the Environmental Document is finalized, the is segment of the Skyline Corridor, it appears that a complete the relocation assistance process, along proximately 12 additional months. Therefore, the	
15	County of Butte	\$0	12 months	
15	Butte	\$0	12 months	
	Dutte	\$50	6/30/2004	
	PPNO: 2L94	\$0		
	Oroville Bangor Highway	\$50	Support, meets STIP guidelines	
	and studies. These studies waters of the U.S., and also required additional studies These activities have added schedule. Additional work potential environmental im what reviewing agency cor	identified the existing roadside drainage ditches identified the possible presence of the potenti and agency consultations to be conducted durit significant delays to processing and completion was also required to revise the roadway alignmants. The environmental documents are currently	ally endangered plant species Clarkia. This has ng both the wet season and the flowering season. In the environmental documents within the current and extent of widening proposed to minimize ently under public review with no indication yet bunty is asking for a 12-month extension of the	
16	County of Butte	\$0	12 months	
. 0	Butte	\$0	A SET ALACMANIAN	
		\$20	6/30/2004	
	PPNO: 1L43	\$0		
	Keefer Road Rehab.	\$20	Support, meets STIP guidelines	
	The mapping of the Area of Potential Affect (APE) for this project was prepared by the County and submitted to Caltrans for review and approval by FHWA. Approval was delayed and did not occur until late February 2003. Ongoing biological and cultural studies could not be completed and submitted for review until the APE limits were defined and approved. Submittals for a Categorical Exemption with technical studies for CEQA and NEPA are proposed by the County to meet environmental clearances. Approval is presently pending comments from Caltrans and FHWA. The present worst-case scenario is that more extensive studies will be required on existing rock walls that may in turn require review by the State Historical Preservation Office (SHPO). These activities would add significant delays to processing and completing the environmental documents. The County still expects to request the PS&E allocation at the June 2003 meeting, but the R/W allocation will be delayed. To avoid jeopardizing the R/W funding, the County is requesting a 12-month extension of the R/W allocation deadline (with the expectation that R/W certification can take place as soon as April 2004.)			

Project #	Applicant	Extension Amount	Number of Months Requested	
	County	By Component (\$ in thousands)		
		E&P	Extended Deadline	
	PPNO	PS&E		
	Project Description	R/W	CT Recommendation	
		CON		
	Deagen for Project Delays	TOTAL		
17	Reason for Project Delay: County of Butte	\$0	12 months	
1 /	Butte	\$35	12 months	
	Butte	\$200	6/30/2004	
	PPNO: 2L98	\$0	0.30.2001	
	Speedway Ave. Extension	\$235	Support, meets STIP guidelines	
	1999 by the Butte County A solution to present circulati an interchange could be fur be required due to the proje and possible impacts to a fo	ided. The County initially could not determine	Attension of Speedway Avenue as an interim way, until the extension of Southgate Avenue and whether an Environmental Impact Report would be planned Southgate Avenue Extension Project on the existing right-of-way. After public	
	biological and cultural stud approved. Completion of the Declaration and submittal the were also determined necessagencies has also added signequired, the schedule will	sary due to the controversial nature of the proj nificant delays to processing and completing the	antil the final project limits were defined and the draft Initial Study/Mitigated Negative 0-day public review. Additional public meetings lect. Addressing comments from different public the environmental documents. If further review is W allocation requests. Therefore, the County is	
18	City of Los Angeles	\$0	20 months	
	Los Angeles	\$0 \$380	2/29/2005	
	PPNO: 3096	\$280 \$0	2/28/2005	
	Commercial St. Widening	\$280	Support, meets STIP guidelines	
	This project will allow freeway-destined traffic to access the southbound US-101 Freeway on-ramp and will be constructed in conjunction with Caltrans Rte 101 Southbound Interchange project and also the Metropolitan Transportation Authority (MTA) Light Rail Project. To accommodate the coordination of these projects, the City of Los Angeles needs additional construction funds. Caltrans and MTA agreed to provide the right-of-way required for the Commercial Street Widening project at no cost, so that these R/W funds can be used for construction. Therefore, the City is requesting a 20-month extension of the R/W allocation deadline.			
19	City of Los Angeles	\$0	20 months	
	Los Angeles	\$0	0.00.000	
	DDNIO 2266	\$0 \$1.184	2/28/2005	
	PPNO: 2369 Sepulveda at Burbank Blvd	\$1,184		
	Sepurveda at Burbank Brvd	l. \$1,184	Support, meets STIP guidelines	

Project #	Applicant	Extension Amount	Number of Months Requested
	County	By Component (\$ in thousands)	
		E&P	Extended Deadline
	PPNO	PS&E	
	Project Description	R/W	CT Recommendation
		CON	
		TOTAL	
	Reason for Project Delay:		
20	County of Yuba	\$0	17 months
	Yuba	\$750	
		\$0	11/30/2004
	PPNO: 9725C	\$0	
	Sutter/Yuba Route 70 Corridor Project (Prop 35 project)	\$750	Support, meets STIP guidelines
	the environmental process from This transition took longer than had to be changed due to new f	n Developers in the "Sports and Entertainm n expected and resulted in other delays. The formatting standards FHWA had implement	tle. There has been a transition in the funding of the Zone" of the County, to the County itself. e entire format of the environmental document ted during the project's transition period. In
	the environmental process from This transition took longer than had to be changed due to new f addition, once the document wa	n Developers in the "Sports and Entertainm n expected and resulted in other delays. The formatting standards FHWA had implement	nent Zone" of the County, to the County itself. e entire format of the environmental document ted during the project's transition period. In ns requested clarification of details. Therefore, the
21	the environmental process from This transition took longer than had to be changed due to new f addition, once the document wa	n Developers in the "Sports and Entertainm n expected and resulted in other delays. The formatting standards FHWA had implement as submitted to Caltrans for review, Caltran	nent Zone" of the County, to the County itself. e entire format of the environmental document ted during the project's transition period. In ns requested clarification of details. Therefore, the
21	the environmental process from This transition took longer than had to be changed due to new f addition, once the document was County is requesting a 17-mon	n Developers in the "Sports and Entertainm a expected and resulted in other delays. The formatting standards FHWA had implement as submitted to Caltrans for review, Caltranth extension of the PS&E allocation deadling.	nent Zone" of the County, to the County itself. e entire format of the environmental document ted during the project's transition period. In ns requested clarification of details. Therefore, the ne.
	the environmental process from This transition took longer that had to be changed due to new f addition, once the document we County is requesting a 17-mon  City of Glendale	n Developers in the "Sports and Entertainm a expected and resulted in other delays. The formatting standards FHWA had implement as submitted to Caltrans for review, Caltranth extension of the PS&E allocation deadlings \$0	nent Zone" of the County, to the County itself. e entire format of the environmental document ted during the project's transition period. In ns requested clarification of details. Therefore, the ne.
	the environmental process from This transition took longer that had to be changed due to new f addition, once the document we County is requesting a 17-mon  City of Glendale	n Developers in the "Sports and Entertainm a expected and resulted in other delays. The formatting standards FHWA had implement as submitted to Caltrans for review, Caltrant the extension of the PS&E allocation deadlings \$0 \$0 \$0	nent Zone" of the County, to the County itself. e entire format of the environmental document ted during the project's transition period. In ns requested clarification of details. Therefore, the ne.  20 months
	the environmental process from This transition took longer that had to be changed due to new f addition, once the document we County is requesting a 17-mon  City of Glendale Los Angeles	n Developers in the "Sports and Entertainm a expected and resulted in other delays. The formatting standards FHWA had implement as submitted to Caltrans for review, Caltrant the extension of the PS&E allocation deadlings \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	nent Zone" of the County, to the County itself. e entire format of the environmental document ted during the project's transition period. In ns requested clarification of details. Therefore, the ne.  20 months
	the environmental process from This transition took longer that had to be changed due to new f addition, once the document we County is requesting a 17-mon  City of Glendale Los Angeles  PPNO: 2120A	n Developers in the "Sports and Entertainm a expected and resulted in other delays. The formatting standards FHWA had implement as submitted to Caltrans for review, Caltran th extension of the PS&E allocation deadlings \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$2,242	nent Zone" of the County, to the County itself. e entire format of the environmental document ted during the project's transition period. In us requested clarification of details. Therefore, the ne.  20 months  02/28/2005